

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/190,727 11/12/1998		CRIS T. PALTENGHE	CITI0080-US	6980	
27510	7590 06/24/2003				
KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP 607 14TH STREET, N.W. SUITE 900			EXAMINER		
			HAYES, JOHN W		
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3621	3621	
			DATE MAILED: 06/24/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	09/190,727	PALTENGHE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John W Hayes	3621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 A	<u>pril 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 22-24 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-24</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers	,					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 November 1998</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:						
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/190,727 Page 2

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

1. Claims 1-21 were previously canceled and claims 22-26 were previously added. Claim 26 has further been canceled by applicant's preliminary amendment filed 21 December 2000 and claim 25 was canceled in the amendment filed 20 June 2002. Claims 22-24 remain pending and are again presented for examined.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 10 April 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With respect to claims 22-24, applicant asserts that Goldhaber et al fail to disclose that the anonymizing step is performed independently of any inputs from the consumers. Applicant further asserts that Goldhaber et al teaches that the customer does provide inputs as to "whether or not to make [his or her contact information] available to advertisers" and that the customer "will probably want to make the [his or her] profile available while keeping [his or her] name and address secret". Examiner respectfully disagrees and notes that Goldhaber discloses a database of digitally stored electronic demographic profiles of potential viewers to advertisements and further indicates that many businesses keep profiles of customer's interests and transactions by tracking the customers purchases independent of any input from the customer and wherein the profiles are kept private (Col. 6, lines 24-50). Thus, Goldhaber et al teach that the demographic profiles are collected independent of any specific input from the customer and are anonymized by keeping them private (pseudonymous). The inputs provided by the customer are used to determine how to handle and distribute this information to other parties, but not whether or not the information is anonymized.

Specification

3. Applicant has amended the title of the invention to "METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR INFORMATION STORAGE". Examiner still objects to this amended title as it does not accurately reflect the current

Art Unit: 3621

claims. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed such as "METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR ANONYMIZING PURCHASE DATA".

Drawings

- 4. The drawings are objected to because of the minor informalities cited on the form PTO 948 forwarded as part of paper number 5.
- 5. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Goldhaber et al*, U.S. Patent No. 5,794,210 in view of *O'Neil et al*, U.S. Patent No. 5,987,440.

As per claim 22, *Goldhaber et al* disclose a method of transmitting purchase data in a database concerning a first consumer's order to at least one merchant independently of action by the first consumer comprising: storing a first data store made up of data comprising a first consumer's purchase data (Col. 6, lines 24-50); storing a second data store made up of data comprising a second consumer's purchase data (Col. 6, lines 24-50); extracting the first and second consumer's purchase data from the database (Col. 6, lines 24-61), anonymizing the purchase data into anonymous data (Col. 6, lines 27-31; 38-45; Col. 12, lines 46-53) and transmitting the anonymous data to a merchant wherein the anonymizing step is performed independently of any inputs from the consumers (Col. 13, lines 34-55). *Goldhaber et al*, however, fails to specifically disclose that the purchase data is combined with similar purchase data from

Art Unit: 3621

a second consumer's purchase data. *O'Neil et al* disclose a system that allows a consumer to protect, command, control and process personal information and teaches combining purchase data with similar purchase data from other consumers (Col. 13 line 65-Col. 14 line 14) for the specific purpose of locating members that have expressed an interest in a certain item. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the teachings of *Goldhaber et al* and include the ability to combine purchase behavior or consumer profiles of consumers with similar interests as taught by *O'Neil et al* for the advantage of identifying or locating a group of consumers for which a particular advertisement may be targeted. *Goldhaber et al* provide motivation by indicating that it is well known that advertisers have traditionally attempted to target specific groups or types of consumers based on similar interests since these consumers would be more likely to respond to the advertisement (Col. 1, lines 50-60; Col. 2, lines 22-35; Col. 3, lines 12-24).

As per claim 24, *Goldhaber et al* further disclose wherein the anonymizing is performed with respect to the consumers' identification data (Col. 6, lines 38-45; Col. 7, lines 62-67).

8. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Goldhaber et al*, U.S. Patent No. 5,794,210 and *O'Neil et al*, U.S. Patent No. 5,987,440 as applied to claim 22 above, and further in view of *Low et al*, U.S. Patent No. 5,420,926.

As per claim 23, although it may have been obvious to one skilled in the art to anonymize consumers' purchase data by removing credit card numbers, the combination of *Goldhaber et al* and *O'Neil et al* fail to specifically disclose this feature. Low et al discloses a method for conducting anonymous credit card transactions without disclosing the details of the transaction that can be used to identify the consumer. Low et al teaches that it is particularly easy to assemble information about a consumer which could be used to invade an individual's privacy. For example, a credit card company or bank can use the information it acquires to determine the spending habits of a customer and can then either use that information in its own business or make it available to others. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the methods of *Goldhaber et al* and *O'Neil et al* and anonymize the purchase data so that consumers' credit card numbers are not disclosed since this is a very effective

Application/Control Number: 09/190,727

Art Unit: 3621

means to identify the consumer. The specific intent of the teachings of *Goldhaber et al* are to provide consumer purchase data and consumer profile data without specifically identifying any particular consumer, therefore, it would have been obvious to remove information such as credit card numbers in view of the teachings of *Low et al*.

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- "Frequent-Shopper Plans Get a Chipper Look", discloses electronically monitoring shoppers purchases
 with a particular merchant and permit retailers to chart purchasing activity for direct marketing purposes
 and further teach tracking purchasing behavior and provide merchants with consumer profiles so products
 can be marketed to specific customers.
- Goodman, John, "Leveraging the Customer Database To Your Competitive Advantage", discloses that customer information can provide marketers with a competitive edge by collecting data from past purchases so that marketing efforts can be tailored to the needs of the customers.
- 11. The prior art <u>previously</u> made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/190,727

Art Unit: 3621

 Fergerson et al disclose a system and method for secure transaction order management processing and teaches a method wherein consumers can purchase items from multiple merchants and conduct a single checkout

Page 6

Peckover discloses intelligent agents used for electronic commerce wherein consumers personal
identity is concealed and the agents are used to assist the consumer in comparing and ranking products.
 Provider personal agents quantify demand and target specific consumers based on preference data
without learning their identity.

Application/Control Number: 09/190,727 Page 7

Art Unit: 3621

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Hayes whose telephone number is (703)306-5447. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 5:30 to 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jim Trammell, can be reached on (703) 305-9768.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703)305-7687 [Official communications; including

After Final communications labeled

"Box AF"]

(703) 746-5531 [Informal/Draft communications, labeled "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, 7th floor receptionist.

//Primary Examiner Art Unit 3621

June 23, 2003